

Ecological Life Sciences ISSN: 1308 7258 Article ID: 5A0188 Status : Research Article Received: 05.08.2022 Accepted: 06.01.2023

### Serdar Doğan

Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, drserdardogan@hotmail.com,

Hatay-Türkiye

Ece Kılıç

İskenderun Technical University, ece.kilic@iste.edu.tr,

Hatay-Türkiye

Erkan Uğurlu

İskenderun Technical University, erkn.ugurlu@yahoo.com,

Hatay-Türkiye

Önder Duysak

İskenderun Technical University, onder.duysak@iste.edu.tr,

Hatay-Türkiye

DOI	http://dx.do	http://dx.doi.org/10.12739/NWSA.2023.18.1.5A0188							
ORCID ID	0000-0001-68	54-2197	0000-0003-1953-5008						
	0000-0001-89	40-8421	0000-0002-7484-3102						
Correspond	ling Author	Serdar Doğan							

## INVESTIGATION OF METAL TOXICITY RESPONSE AND HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT OF COMMONLY CONSUMED MARINE FISH SPECIES ALONG THE TURKISH COAST

## ABSTRACT

Marine environments are suffered from several types of pollution. Marine organisms take pollutants into their bodies which result in heavy metal accumulation in their tissues. Therefore, consumption of marine organisms may pose a risk to human health. This study was undertaken to estimate metal accumulation and metal toxicity responses of M. barbatus, B.boops, T. trachurus from Marmara Sea, Aegean Sea and Mediterranean Sea. Also, since these species were commonly consumed by Turkish people, health risk assessment employing The Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) and lifetime cancer risk (CR) was conducted. For that purpose, aluminum (Al), chrome (Cr), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) concentrations in the muscle, gill and liver. To test the impact of metal toxicity on studies species, oxidative stress biomarkers (catalase-CAT, Malondialdehyde-MDA) in muscle and liver were investigated. Metal accumulation levels showed differences depending on tissues, species and/or bays. Also, strong correlation was observed between biostress parameters and metal accumulation levels. Both, THQ and CR calculations showed safe consumption depending on the consumption of M. barbatus, B.boops, T. trachurus at all stations. Results obtained in this study showed that biostress parameters could be effectively used to monitor environmental pollution and contribute to the knowledge of metal toxicity along Turkish coast.

Keywords: Health Risk, Marmara Sea, Aegean Sea, Mediterranean Sea, MDA

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Marine environments were contaminated due to natural or anthropogenic activities, such as air pollution, untreated wastewater discharge, agricultural and urban diffuse pollution [1]. Marine organisms living in these polluted environments may accumulate polluters in their tissues. Due to the connection between marine organisms and humans via the food chain, this condition may pose a risk to human health [2 and 3]. Metals are the most studied pollutant in the marine

#### How to Cite:

Doğan, S., Kılıç, E., Uğurlu, E., and Duysak, Ö., (2023). Investigation of Metal Toxicity Response and Health Risk Assessment of Commonly Consumed Marine Fish Species Along The Turkish Coast. Ecological Life Sciences, 18(1):8-29, DOI: 10.12739/NWSA.2023.18.1.5A0188.



environment due to long return cycles, unsuitability for decomposition and increase in accumulation along the food chain [4]. Some metals like iron, chromium, manganese, cobalt, nickel, copper, selenium are essential in trace amounts for aquatic microorganisms and may become toxic in excess amounts; on the other hand, others like mercury, cadmium and lead are non-essential and toxic [5]. Metal toxicity ends up with the formation of oxidative stress in aquatic organisms [6]. Organisms increase the antioxidant enzymes like catalase (CAT) and bind metals with metallothioneins to deal with oxidative stress [7]. Therefore, catalase enzyme activity and Malondialdehyde activity (MDA) was used as biomarkers to evaluate metal pollution in marine environments [8-10]. This study was designed to understand the impact of metal concentrations in the ambient environment on the marine fish by examining metal accumulation levels and stress biomarkers (MDA and CAT). For that purpose, Mullus barbatus Linnaeus, 1758, Boops boops (Linnaeus, 1758) and Trachurus trachurus (Linnaeus, 1758) samples were collected from Turkish coastal regions. Mullus barbatus is a demersal species and usually fed on small benthic crustaceans, worms and mollusks [79]. Boops boops is a demersal, omnivorous species, and mainly fed on crustaceans [79]. Trachurus trachurus is a pelagic-neritic species, and usually fed on fish, crustaceans, and cephalopods [79].

## 2. RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE

There are several studies evaluating the metal accumulation levels in marine fish from Turkish coastal regions [4, 11, 12 and 13]. Yet, this study is, as far as we know, the first study designed to assess impact of metal toxicity by examining stress biomarkers (MDA and CAT). Also, since these species are intensively consumed by Turkish people, health risk assessments prior to the consumption of these species from Turkish coastal waters were evaluated.

## Highlights

- Results obtained in this study showed that highest Co, Ni, Mn, Fe accumulation was observed in the muscle of demersal *M. barbatus*.
- Highest Al, Cr, Cd, Cu, Zn accumulation were found in the muscle of pelagic *T. trachurus*.
- MDA and CAT activity levels in the muscle and liver were ranked as *T. trachurus> B. boops> M. barbatus* and *M. barbatus> T. trachurus> B. boops*, respectively.

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD-PROCESS

### 3.1. Sampling and Analysis

Mullus barbatus, Boops boops and Trachurus trachurus samples were collected in January-February 2021 along Turkish coastal including Aegean Sea, Marmara Sea, and Mediterranean Sea of Turkey (Figure 1). Morphologically unharmed specimens were selected for the analysis. Target fish length and fish weight were selected as at least 15cm and 30g which was appropriate size for consumption. Morphological characteristics of examined individuals were given in Table 1. The samples were immediately brought to the laboratory in cold chain, and total fish length and weight were measured to the nearest millimeter (mm) and gram (g). Samples were digested as soon as they arrived in the laboratory.





Figure 1. Study area

Table	1.	Morphologic	al ch	aracter	istics	(length	in	cm,	weight	in	g)	of
		examined	indiv	iduals	(x:mean	, s:star	ndar	d e	rror)			

		Mullus ba	arbatus	Boops	boops	Trachurus trachurus		
Station	~	Total length	Weight	Total length	Weight	Total length	Weight	
	11	(x ± s)	(x ± s)	(x ± s)	(x ± s)	(x ± s)	(x ± s)	
İskenderun	39	12.05±1.10	26.8±5.52	12.43±2.39	27.55±16.39	15.78±1.24	43.28±9.92	
Antalya	38	13.92±0.72	29.30±4.38	17.62±1.15	61.41±14.69	14.96±1.07	28.67±6.13	
Bodrum	41	14.13±0.83	35.03±5.98	18.32±1.20	70.62±15.55	28.8±1.22	214.38±31.97	
Aydın	40	17.66±0.72	57.62±10.07	21.88±1.56	113.91±27.35	14.2±1.88	22.64±8.18	
Çanakkale	40	14.28±1.50	30.36±7.89	17.84±1.07	54.36±7.47	11.95±0.96	14.40±3.68	
Tekirdağ	38	13.36±0.26	27.09±2.73	17.96±1.11	53.09±9.31	12.62±0.69	16.05±2.78	
Bandırma	40	14.44±0.54	32.66±3.68	14.97±1.19	28.79±6.19	11.27±0.71	12.84±1.43	

## 3.2. Digestion Procedure

For metal accumulation analysis, digestion procedure was modified from Tüzen [14]. A homogenized 2g sample from epaxial muscle and gill. For liver, organ was completely separated and at least 0.5g of tissue was digested. Each tissue samples was placed in a 20ml digestion tube, and 5ml of high purity nitric acid (Merck) were added. Samples were heated and kept at 60°C for 7 days until they dissolve completely. After digestion, the samples were filtered through Whatman-Quantitative (No:42, 110mm£) filter paper. The digested portion was then diluted to a final volume of 20ml. A blank digest was carried out in the same way. All metals were determined against aqueous standards. Digested samples were analyzed with three replicates for each metal. For MDA and CAT analysis, digestion procedure were completed as fast as possible. 0.5g tissue samples were placed in falcon tubes and frozen at -80°C until further analysis.

## 3.3. Analytical Procedure

Metal concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-MS) (a Plasma Quant MS Series (Jena, Germany) equipment located at Hatay Mustafa Kemal University. Operating conditions were given in Table 2. Calibration standards were prepared from a multi-element ICP Standard (Merck). The quality of data was checked against the analysis of standard reference material DORM-2 (National Research Council of Canada; dogfish muscle and hepatopancreas MA-A-2/TM Fish Flesh). The recovery values for Al, Cd, Co, Ni, Pb, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn were measured as 99.98, 94.16, 96.57, 91.22, 97.12, 99.98, 91.94, 97.35, 89.83 and 96.59% respectively. Metal concentrations were calculated in micrograms per gram wet weight ( $\mu g g^{-1} ww$ ). Detection limit of Al, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb (in  $\mu g g^{-1} ww$ ) were 0.032, 0.009, 0.008, 2.151, 0.003, 0.008, 0.009, 0.603, 0.001 and 0.004, respectively.



RF power	1.20 kW
Plasma gas flow rate	9L min-1
Auxiliary gas flow rate	1.65 L min-1
Carrier gas flow rate	1.1L min-1
Helium collision gas flow rate	mL min-1 (Not used)
Spray chamber T	2 °C
Sample depth	6 mm
Sample introduction flow rate	1 mL min-1
Nebulizer pump	0.1 rps
Extract lens	1.5 V

### Table 2. ICP-MS (Plasma Quant MS Series) operating conditions

## 3.4. Determination of Malondialdehyde Concentration

Malondialdehyde concentration was carried out based on the double boiling method modified by Hammouda et al., [15]. In the first boiling process, proteins were precipitated using Trichloro Acetic Acid (TCA) to release the protein-bound MDA. In the second boiling process, a colored complex was formed by reacting total MDA with TCA in a hot and acidic environment. The resulting-colored complex was measured spectrophotometrically at 532nm wavelength. Results are given in nmol/mg protein.

# 3.5. Determination of Catalase Enzyme Activity

Catalase enzyme activity was determined according to the Aebi [16] method. The wavelength at which  $H_2O_2$  gives maximum absorbance is 240nm (Schimadzu UV 1601, Japan). This method is based on the spectrophotometric measurement of the conversion of  $H_2O_2$  added to the test medium of catalase to water and oxygen at 240nm. Catalase activity was calculated according to the changes in absorbance and the results were given in k/g protein. In the present study, k is the rate constant for the reaction defined by Aebi [16].

# 3.6. Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA and Duncan multiple comparison test were used to determine the significant difference among tissues and stations. Spearman correlation analysis was employed to investigate the relationship of metals and oxidative stress biomarkers. Lastly, principal component analysis was employed to understand the parameters which represent the variations metal concentrations and oxidative stress parameters. For that purpose, raw data including results of metal concentrations and oxidative stress parameters were processed after normalization for each tissue separately. All statistical calculations were done by PAST statistical software package [17] and Microsoft Excell. In all cases, p<0.05 were indicated the statistical significance.

## 3.7. Health Risk Assessment

The Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) is a recently employed tool for the assessment of potential health risks of individual heavy metals [13, 18 and 19]. The THQ $\geq$ 1.0 refers that people may experience significant health risks from the intake of individual metals through fish consumption [20]. To determine the health risk of consumption of the studied species, THQ was calculated in accordance with the below formula [21].

 $THQ = [(E_F x E_D x F_{IR} x C) / (R_{FD} x W_{AB} x T_A)] x 10^{-3}$ 

Where, EF is the exposure frequency: 365 days/year, ED is the exposure duration: the average lifetime is assumed as 70 years according to Bennett et al. [22]. FIR is the food ingestion rate is selected as 17.26g/day which is the average fisheries consumption of Turkish consumers, according to TUIK [23]. C is the determined metal concentration in edible tissue (µg g<sup>-1</sup>). WAB is the average body weight:



72.8kg, TA is the average exposure time for non-carcinogens (365 days/year x ED, assuming 70 years in this study). Oral reference dose ( $R_{FD}$ ) for Al, Cr, Co, Ni, Cd, Pb, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn are taken as 1.00, 3x10<sup>-3</sup>, 3x10<sup>-4</sup>, 2x10<sup>-2</sup>, 1x10<sup>-3</sup>, 4x10<sup>-3</sup>, 0.14, 0.7, 0.04, 0.3µg g<sup>-1</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) [24]. The lifetime cancer risk was also investigated. The CR>10<sup>-5</sup> indicates a high carcinogenic risk depending on the consumption of investigated species. CR was calculated in accordance with the below formula.

 $CR = [(E_F x E_D x F_{IR} x C x C_{SF}) / (W_{AB} x T_A)] x 10^{-3}$ 

where  $C_{\text{SF}}$  is the cancer slope factor.  $C_{\text{SF}}$  value for Cr, Cd, Ni and Pb is taken as 0.5, 6.3, 1.7 and  $8.5 x 10^{-3},$  respectively [25].

## 4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Metals found in the marine environments may accumulate in the organs which pose risk to the health of marine animals. In addition, toxicity risk increases depending on the consumption by upper trophic levels. For that reason, consumption of marine animals by humans endanger the human health [3]. Therefore, this study was undertaken to evaluate metal accumulation levels on the most commonly consumed marine fish species from Turkish coastal waters. According to TUIK, 12.319 tonnes of *T. trachurus* and 1.604 tonnes of *M. barbatus* were harvested in 2020 [23].

## 4.1. Metal Accumulation Levels in Tissues

In this study, metal accumulation levels in the muscle, gill and liver of *M. barbatus*, *B. boops*, *T. trachurus* from different parts of Turkish coastal waters were examined. Mean accumulation levels (in  $\mu g g^{-1}$  ww) with standard errors (x±s) in the tissues of *M. barbatus*, *B. boops*, *T. trachurus* were given in Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5, respectively. Statistical analysis showed significant variations depending on the tissues (Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5).



	Barbatus from Turkish coastal waters												
Metals	Tissues	İskenderun	Antalya	Bodrum	nıbyA		Tekirdağ	Bandırma					
Al	M	0.925±0.162a.b. x	1.385±0.346b.x	0.530±0.155a.c.	0.325±0.212a.c. x	0.675±0.212a.c .x .8 725±0 742c x	0.860±0.056a.b. c.x	0.220±0.042c.x					
	L	1.600±0.113a.x 0.140±0.042a.b.	0.905±0.106b.x 0.145±0.035a.b.	0.845±0.063b.x 0.205±0.035a.x	0.935±0.091b.x	1.520±0.056a.x	0.235±0.049c.x	0.320±0.028c.x					
Cr	M	x 3.590±0.113a.y	x 0.455±0.049c.y	1.010±0.070d.y	x 0.335±0.021b.c.	0.395±0.021b.c	y 0.320±0.028b.c.	.x 0.140±0.014b.y					
	G T.	0.090±0.028a.f.	0.215±0.035b.x	0.380±0.014c.x	y 0.050±0.014a.x	.x 0.785±0.021d.y	x 0.515±0.035e.y	0.150±0.014b.1					
	M	x 0.015±0.007a.x	0.025±0.007a.x	0.015±0.006a.x	0.025±0.007a.x	0.025±0.006a.x	0.025±0.007a.x	•y 0.025±0.006a.x					
Co	G	0.240±0.028a.y	0.085±0.007b.c. x	0.055±0.007b.x	0.135±0.007c.x	0.045±0.007b.x	0.055±0.006b.x	0.055±0.007b.3					
	L	0.340±0.028a.a. z 0.155+0.021a.c	0.460±0.036a.c. d.y 0.040+0.014b.x	0.030±0.021b.x	0.700±0.141C.y	0.410±0.042a.y	0.210±0.014b.d. y 0.230±0.042c.x	.z .z 0.025+0.007b.s					
Ni	M	x 4.010+0.296a.v	0.290+0.014b.v	0.325+0.049b.v	0.410+0.042b.v	0.315+0.035b.v	0.165+0.007b.x	0.275+0.006b.v					
	L	0.230±0.028a.x	0.120±0.028b.c. x	0.075±0.021c.x	0.120±0.028b.c. x	.0.170±0.014a.b	0.155±0.021a.b. c.x	0.175±0.021a.k					
Cd	MG	0.005±0.001a.x 0.010±0.0001a.x	0.015±0.007a.x 0.025±0.006a.x	0.010±0.001a.x 0.025±0.007a.x.y	0.010±0.001a.x 0.015±0.006a.x	0.020±0.001a.x 0.015±0.006a.x	0.020±0.001a.x 0.020±0.001a.x	0.010±0.001a.x					
	L	0.070±0.014a.y 0.380±0.070a.b.	0.080±0.014a.y 0.275±0.007a.x	0.035±0.007a.y 0.250±0.042a.x	0.045±0.003a.x 0.270±0.056a.x	0.060±0.028a.x 0.565±0.176a.b	0.045±0.007a.y 0.430±0.240a.b.	0.040±0.014a.x					
Pb	G	x 1.505±0.091a.b.	1.080±0.056a.f.	23.845±0.332c.y	6.360±0.296d.y	.x 3.105±0.148e.y	x 1.160±0.155a.f.	0.730±0.014f.y					
	L	y 0.910±0.127a.z	y 0.885±0.134a.v	1.500±0.311a.b.z	3.325±0.049c.z	5.150±0.791d.z	x 0.685±0.374a.x	0.765±0.134a.v					
Mn	М	0.0205±0.063a.b .x	0.305±0.007b.c. x	0.075±0.007a.x	0.195±0.3007b.a .x	0.125±0.035b.a .x	0.460±0.084c.x	0.690±0.098d.					
	G	10.710±0.438a.y	3.340±0.226c.y	2.920±0.579c.y	15.030±0.608d.3	2.920±0.579c.y	4.325±0.445c.y	4.650±0.445b.c					
	L	1.330±0.070a.d. z	0.945±0.162a.c. x	0.705±0.091a.c.>	0.285±0.061c.x	1.145±0.007a.x	2.035±0.049b.d. z	0.815±0.007a.c					
Fe	М	6.005±0.700a.c. d.x	18.070±2.206b.x	102 225+2 061c	4.280±0.254a.d. x	8.500±0.155c.x	3.360±0.325d.e. x	0.755±0.021e.					
	G	y 134.205+2.637a.	126.515+9.920a	71.035+9.043c.z	<u>y</u> 16.270+4.058d.x	y 122.715+0.275a	5.365+0.502d.x	y 13.265+1.887d					
	L	Z	Z			. Z		Z					
Cu	G M	0.070±0.014a.x 0.705±0.148a.c.	0.670±0.014b.x 0.600±0.028c.d.	0.085±0.007a.x 0.495±0.063a.c.c	0.075±0.007a.x	0.055±0.021a.x	0.085±0.007a.x 0.905±0.021e.y	0.075±0.021a.x					
	L	e.y 2.505±0.205a.z	2.795±0.106a.b.	.× 2.310±0.268a.y	2.565±0.318a.y	•y 2.715±0.091a.z	4.715±0.247c.z	• y 3.870±0.028d.2					
Zn	М	4.455±0.487a.x	4.015±0.091a.c. x	3.065±0.162b.c.c	2.700±0.098b.d. x	4.420±0.042a.x	3.560±0.438a.d. x	3.555±0.445a.c					
	G	14.140±0.127a.e	14.940±0.028a.e	24.160±1.414b.y	18.175±0.261a.c	22.560±3.337b. c.y	7.130±0.820d.y	10.985±0.898d e.y					
	L	33.920±2.390a.b .z	25.335±0.926b.c .z	28.490±0.014a.b. c.z	26.580±0.848b.c	33.905±3.641a. b.y	36.595±1.067a.2	22.320±2.687c z					

Table 3. Metal concentration (in  $\mu q q^{-1} ww$ ) in the tissues of *Mullus* 

 L
 .z
 .z
 c.z
 .z
 b.y
 z

 Note: M=Muscle, G=Gill, L=Liver
 Horizontally a, b indicates differences among stations (p<0.05), vertically letters x.y indicate differences among tissues (p<0.05)</td>
 Item (p<0.05)</td>



Table 4	4.	Metal	concent	ratio	n (in	μg	g_1	ww)	in	the	tissues	of	Boops
			boops	from	Turk	ish	coa	stal	wa	ters			

			1					
Met als	Tissues	İskenderun	Antalya	Bodrum	Aydın	Çanakkale	Tekirdağ	Bandırma
	М	0.850±0.042	0.380±0.042b	0.605±0.02 1a.b.x	0.225±0.035b. x	0.395±0.021 b.x	0.795±0.233 a.x	0.165±0.007 b.x
	C	1.755±0.106	1.075±0.007b	1.490±0.14	1.160±0.070a.	1.515±0.289	1.765±0.304	1.940±0.113
Al	G	a,b,y	<b>,</b> Y	la.b.y	b.y	a.b.y	a.b.y	a.y
	L	1.070±0.084	0.710±0.028a	0.920±0.04	0.535±0.077b.	1.040±0.028	1.435±0.077	1.420±0.183
			, b, z	2a.b.x	Z	a.x.y	C.X.Y	a.c.y
	М	0.310±0.042 a,x	0.135±0.035D	2b.x	0.070±0.014b. X	0.245±0.049 a.x	0.345±0.021 a.x	0.060±0.014 b.x
	C	0.565±0.035	0.205±0.007b	0.310±0.04	0.890±0.070c.	0.580±0.014	0.800±0.141	0.510±0.127
Cr	G	a,y	, x	2a.b.y	У	a.y	c.x	a.y
	L	0.665±0.035	0.305±0.007b	0.380±0.02	0.100±0.014b.	0.580±0.028	0.540±0.183	0.705±0.021
		a,y	, C, Y	8c.y	X 0.01510.0000	a.c.y	a.c.x	a.y
	М	0.015±0.007	0.01510.006a	0.015±0.00	0.015±0.006a.	0.015±0.007	0.02010.014	0.01510.000
	-	0.035±0.007	0.015±0.007a	0.015±0.00	0.0135±0.007a	0.035±0.006	0.040±0.028	0.035±0.006
Co	G	a,b,x,y	, x	6a.x	.b.x.y	a.b.x	a.b.x	a.b.x
	т	0.055±0.007	0.155±0.021a	0.090±0.01	0.055±0.007a.	0.230±0.042	0.275±0.063	0.265±0.077
	-	a,y	,b,y	4a.y	У	a.b.y	b.y	b.y
	М	0.200±0.042	0.020±0.014b	0.040±0.01	0.020±0.014b.	0.030±0.014	0.045±0.021	0.020±0.014
		0 710±0 029	,X	4D.C.X	X 0 560±0 0705	D.X 0.260±0.127	D.C.X	D.X 0 370±0 029
Ni	G	a,y	0.23310.021D	5b v	0.30010.070a.	0.30010.127 b v	0.33310.077	0.370±0.028
		0.235+0.049	0.025+0.007b	0.050+0.02	0.050+0.014b.	0.090+0.014	0.090+0.014	0.090+0.015
	L	a,x	, x	8b.x	x	b.x.y	b.x	b.x
	м	0.010±0.001	0.010±0.001a	0.010±0.00	0.010±0.001a.	0.015±0.006	0.025±0.021	0.010±0.001
		a,x	, X	la.x	Х	a.x	a.x	a.x
<b>C</b> -1	G	0.015±0.007	0.015±0.006a	0.015±0.07	0.020±0.001a.	0.025±0.007	0.30±0.014a	0.030±0.001
Ca		0 135+0 007	, X 0 290+0 042c	a.x 0.070+0.01	X 0 145±0 0075	d.X 0 355+0 063	.x	a.x
	L	a,c,y	.d.v	4a.v	C.V	d.v	a.x	b.d.v
	м	0.415±0.063	0.110±0.0042	1.755±0.44	0.275±0.120a.	0.370±0.098	0.525±0.049	0.145±0.021
	M	a,x	a,x	5b.x	х	a.x	a.x	a.x
-1	G	2.010±0.268	0.870±0.098a	3.595±0.57	1.345±0.261a.	3.075±0.473	2.265±0.275	0.830±0.141
Pb	-	a,b,y	, Y	2b.x	y	b.y	a.b.y	a.y
	L	1.300±0.325 a,b,x,y	0.550±0.084b	3.000±0.35	0./50±0.113a. bdxv	1.440±0.254	1.2/5±0.134	0.685±0.148
		0.145±0.035	0.165±0.049a	0.110±0.01	0.085±0.021a.	0.385±0.091	0.520±0.084	0.400±0.028
	М	a,x	, X	4a.x	х	a.b.x	b.x	b.x
	G	4.175±0.077	5.340±0.395b	3.650±0.11	12.675±0.544d	7.020±0.245	13.125±0.17	3.615±0.247
Mn	0	a,b,y	<b>،</b> ۲	3a.c.y	• Y	e.y	6d.y	a.c.y
	L	1.895±0.091	0.965±0.021b	0.545±0.07	1.145±0.063b.	0.750±0.084	2.680±0.254	0.440±0.282
		6 380+0 311	5 675±0 5585	/ 785+0_/3	3 375±0 205b	3 685+0 388	3 695+0 176	2 090+0 296
	М	a,x	,x	1a.b.x	d.x	b.x	b.x	b.d.x
	<u> </u>	75.310±4.72	175.740±18.0	74.940±3.9	91.490±1.173a	199.410±15.	44.075±1.68	76.630±4.73
Fe	G	3ª,y	59b,y	17a.y	٠y	372b.y	9a.y	7a.y
	L	189.050±6.2	262.965±8.20	98.345±1.0	130.985±4.886	314.905±20.	85.105±3.24	145.620±7.2
		./ga,z	9b,z	53c.z	d.z	286e.z	5c.z	69d.z
	М	0.030±0.014 a,x	0.035±0.00/a	0.045±0.00 6a.x	0.045±0.00/a. x	0.070±0.014	0.045±0.007	0.060±0.028
	-	0.345±0.035	0.700±0.084b	0.300±0.02	0.320±0.056a.	0.850±0.042	0.500±0.113	0.865±0.077
Cu	G	a,y	,c,y	8a.x	x	c.x	a.b.x	c.y
	т.	2.035±0.077	2.305±0.148a	2.180±0.18	1.865±0.162a.	4.350±0.410	2.520±0.367	2.720±0.226
	ш.	a,z	, b, z	3a.y	У	с.у	a.b.y	a.b.z
	М	4.675±0.007	4.360±0.183a	3.525±0.57	2.955±0.148c.	2.130±0.127	5.765±0.148	0.675±0.021
		4,X 10 025±0 07	,D,X	2D.C.X	X 21 745±1 622-	C.X	e.x	I.X
Zn	G	19.033±0.27 5a,b,e,y	10.22U±U.030 a.d.e.v	23.4/U±1./ 53b.v	21./40±1.033a .b.v	13./43±1.12 4d.v	22.100±1.2/ 9a.b.v	0.035±0.021 f.v
	-	33.820±2.34	21.945±1.251	36.440±0.3	33.750±2.630a	27.065±1.20	39.395±0.85	15.670±1.72
	L	7a,z	b,d,z	95a.z	. Z	9d.z	5a.z	5b.z
		a all a al						

Note: M=Muscle, G=Gill, L=Liver Horizontally a,b indicates differences among stations (p<0.05), vertically letters x.y indicate differences among tissues (p<0.05)



		t	rachurus	from Tur	kish coas	tal water	S	
Meta ls	Tiss ues	İskenderun	Antalya	Bodrum	Aydın	Çanakkale	Tekirdağ	Bandırma
	М	0.775±0.190a	2.310±0.367	0.445±0.12	0.820±0.183	0.415±0.035a	0.405±0.077	0.300±0.014a
71	G	18.485±0.586	2.770±0.197	1.000±0.09	13.020±1.10	1.955±0.049b	1.330±0.141	1.390±0.084b
111	т.	1.680±0.240a	1.360±0.296	0.385±0.16	0.995±0.035	2.200±0.014a	2.250±0.169	41.625±3.401
		.x 0.205±0.035a	a.y 0.150±0.028	2a.x 0.105±0.02	a.x 0.435±0.063	.z 0.360±0.042b	a.z 0.435±0.021	c.y 0.090±0.014a
	M	.x	a.x	la.x	b.x	• X	b.x	• X
Cr	G	0.55±0.042a. y	0.530±0.070 a.y	0.405±0.07 7a.y	0.510±0.070 a.x	0.545±0.106a .x.y	0.445±0.035 a.x	0.660±0.028a .y
	L	0.485±0.063a	1.035±0.021	0.070±0.01	0.495±0.007	0.835±0.063b	0.545±0.035	0.900±0.155b
	м	0.025±0.007a	0.0150±0.00	0.015±0.00	0.0150±0.00	0.015±0.007a	0.015±0.007	0.025±0.007a
		.x	7a.x	7a.x	7a.x	.x	a.x	.x
Co	G	.x	a.y	6a.x	a.x	.x	a.x	.x
	L	0.290±0.028a	0.165±0.007	0.055±0.02	0.335±0.077	0.280±0.028a	0.105±0.007	0.400±0.056a
		0.0175±0.035	0.025±0.007	0.015±0.00	0.045±0.007	0.045±0.035b	0.015±0.007	0.015±0.007b
	M	a.x	b.x	7b.x	b.x	.x	b.x	.x
Ni	G	0.5/5±0.00/a	0.3/5±0.021 b.v	0.325±0.03 5b.v	0.465±0.035	0.550±0.042a	0.275±0.049 b.v	0.565±0.00/a
	т	0.275±0.077a	0.140±0.014	0.045±0.02	0.260±0.028	0.085±0.007b	0.110±0.014	0.215±0.063a
	Ц	.X	a.b.z	1b.x	a.z	.X	b.x	.Z
	Μ	0.015±0.007a	0.015±0.006 a.x	1a.x	0.015±0.006 a.x	0.015±0.007a	0.015±0.06a	0.015±0.006a
<b>C</b> -1	G	0.015±0.007a	0.035±0.007	0.015±0.00	0.025±0.007	0.025±0.007a	0.030±0.001	0.035±0.007a
Ca		.x 0.175±0.049a	0.325±0.035	0.100±0.02	0.150±0.014	.x 0.245±0.007b	0.150±0.014	.x 0.450±0.042c
	L	٠y	b.y	8a.y	a.y	٠y	a.y	٠y
	М	0.360±0.014a	0.165±0.007	0.195±0.04	0.405±0.063	0.525±0.077a	0.390±0.042	0.225±0.063a
	C	1.340±0.183a	0.760±0.042	1.010±0.15	0.885±0.049	1.040±0.155a	1.040±0.155	0.835±0.007b
Pb	9	.b.y	b.y	5a.b.y	a.b.y	.b.x	a.b.y	• y
	L	0./55±0.120a .b.x	0.955±0.106 a.y	0.205±0.07 7b.x	1.285±0.162 a.y	1.500±0.36/C	2.555±0.049 d.z	2.1/5±0.021d .z
	М	0.150±0.014a	0.080±0.028	0.070±0.02	0.155±0.063	0.055±0.021a	0.060±0.014	0.300±0.084b
		.x 3.185±0.219a	a.x 2.520±0.268	8a.x 1.620±0.19	a.x 2.445±0.049	.x 2.020±0.240b	a.x 1.895±0.021	.x 4.125±0.091d
Mn	G	• Y	b.y	7b.y	b.y	• Y	b.y	• Y
	L	2.105±0.148a	0.420±0.056 b.x	0.685±0.06 3b.z	2.195±0.275	0.800±0.113b	0.705±0.077 b.z	2.170±0.212a
	м	6.985±0.374a	7.480±0.424	4.260±0.86	10.020±0.76	6.715±0.813a	8.635±0.586	1.655±0.063b
		.X	a.x	2a.b.x	3a.x	.X	a.x	.X
Fe	G	a.y	5a.y	33a.y	457b.y	a.y	4a.b.y	a.y
	L	198.450±6.54	159.950±0.4	108.455±9.	480.150±21.	192.360±5.81	49.330±9.36	136.625±8.73
		/a.b.z 0 925+0 077a	8Ua.z 0 200+0 028	439d.z	156e.z 0 185+0 091	2a.b.z 0 880+0 042a	2c.i.z	2b.d.z
	М	.x	b.x	2b.x	b.x	.x	a.x	.x
C11	G	1.535±0.473a	1.275±0.106	1.100±0.19	1.105±0.134	1.290±0.028a	1.715±0.219	1.420±0.042a
Cu	т	4.835±0.700a	2.825±0.120	3.740±0.25	2.350±0.466	1.715±0.063d	2.505±0.530	2.555±0.417b
	1	.c.y	b.d.z	4a.b.y	b.d.y	.Z	b.d.y	.d.z
	М	3.390±0.226a .x	4b.x	5./45±0.61 5a.x	7.UIU±0.169 C.X	3.065±0.00/a .x	0.950±0.240 C.X	3.995±0.120a .x
	G	16.735±0.516	23.740±0.43	18.415±0.7	15.860±0.55	15.080±2.503	13.280±1.27	18.300±1.074
Zn	5	a.y	8b.y	14a.y	1a.y	a.y	2a.x.y	a.y
	L	a.c.z	1b.x.v	70b.v	6c.z	b.v	6b.v	b.v

Table 5. Metal concentration (in  $\mu g g^{-1} ww$ ) in the tissues of *Trachurus* trachurus from Turkish coastal waters

Note: M=Muscle, G=Gill, L=Liver Horizontally a,b indicates differences among stations (p<0.05), vertically letters x.y indicate differences among tissues (p<0.05)

Aluminum (Al) concentration in the muscle of *M. barbatus*, *B. boops*, *T. trachurus* was varied between 0.19-1.63µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.16-0.96µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.29-0.48µg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Turan et al., Fındık and Çiçek were reported higher Al accumulation in the muscle tissue of *M. barbatus* from the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea; whereas, Gündoğdu et al., reported similar accumulation levels from the Black Sea [26, 27 and 28]. Similar accumulation levels in the muscle of *B. boops* were was reported from İzmir, Aegean Sea; on the other hand, higher concentrations were reported from Mersin, Mediterranean Sea [29 and 30]. Al accumulation in the muscle of *T. trachurus* estimated in this study was higher than the previous study conducted in Black Sea [28].

Minimum and maximum chromium (Cr) concentration in the muscle, liver and gill of studied species were found as follows: *M. barbatus*: 0.07-0.49µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.13-3.67µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.04-0.8µg g<sup>-1</sup>; for *B. boops*: 0.02-0.36µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.2-0.97µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.09-0.72µg g<sup>-1</sup>, for *T. trachurus* 0.08-0.48µg



0.35-0.68µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.06-0.44 $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Similar Cr g<sup>-1</sup>, accumulation levels in the muscle and liver tissue were reported in Albanian Sea, Aegean Sea, Black Sea, Mediterranean Sea, Algeria [31, 32, 33 and 34]. Cr concentration in the gill tissue was found to be lower than the Aegean Sea [33]. Cr concentration in the muscle tissue of B. boops were similar to studies reported from İzmir Bay, Aegean Sea [29], Antalya, northeastern Mediterranean [35]; lower than the studies conducted in Mersin, Northeastern Mediterranean Sea [30]; Eyqpt, Eastern Mediterranean Sea [36], and higher than the conducted in Nigeria [38]. Cr concentration in the liver and gill of this study were higher than the study conducted in Antalya, Northeastern Mediterranean [35]. Reported Cr concentration in the muscle gill and liver of T. trachurus was similar to study reported from the Black Sea [37]; however, concentration in the muscle tissue was higher than studies reported from Nigeria [38], Adriatic Sea [39].

Cobalt (Co) concentration in the muscle, gill and liver tissue of M. barbatus was varied from 0.01 to 0.03µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.04 to 0.26µg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.05 to 0.8µg g<sup>-1</sup> which were coherent to report from Sicily channel [40]. Co concentration in the muscle, gill and liver tissue of B. boops was varied between 0.01-0.03µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.01-0.06µg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.05-0.32µg g<sup>-1</sup> which was coherent to report from İzmir Bay, Aegean Sea [29]. Reported Co concentration in the muscle, gill and liver tissue of T. trachurus was varied between 0.01-0.03µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02-0.07µg g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.04-0.44µg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Estimated concentration in the muscle and liver tissue of T. trachurus was similar to the studies conducted in the Black Sea [37 and 41].

Minimum and maximum nickel (Ni) concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *M. barbatus*, *B. boops* and *T. trachurus* were found as 0.02- $0.26\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.16-4.22\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.06-0.25\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.01-0.23\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.22-0.73\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.02-0.27\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.01-0.2\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.24-0.58\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.03-0.33\mu g g^{-1}$ , respectively. Ni accumulation in the muscle tissue of *M. barbatus* was similar to studies conducted in the Mediterranean Sea [4, 11 and 26], Black Sea [26], Sicily channel [40], and lower than Black Sea (27). Ni concentration in the muscle tissue of *B. boops* was similar to those reported in İzmir, Aegean Sea [29], Mediterranean Sea [35]; on the other hand, concentration in the liver was lower than previous study from the Mediterranean Sea [35]. Ni concentration in the muscle of *T. trachurus* was coherent to previous studies from the Turkish coastline [37], Black Sea [28 and 41]; whereas, concentration in the liver tissue was lower than previous studies [35].

Minimum and maximum cadmium (Cd) concentration in the muscle, gill and liver were determined as 0.01-0.02 $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.01-0.03 $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02-0.09µg g<sup>-1</sup> for *M. barbatus*; 0.01-0.04µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.01-0.04µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.06-0.54µg  $q^{-1}$  for *B. boops*; 0.01-0.02µg  $q^{-1}$ , 0.01-0.04µg  $q^{-1}$ , 0.08-0.48µg  $q^{-1}$  for *T*. trachurus, respectively. Higher Cd concentrations in the muscle of M. barbatus was reported in the Albanian Sea [39], Mediterranean Sea [26], Black Sea [26 and 27], Aegean Sea [32], Algeria [34], lower concentrations were reported in the Mediterranean Sea [4, 11 and 42], Sicily channel [40], and similar results were reported from Mediterranean Sea [43], Aegean Sea [33]. Estimated Cd concentration in the muscle of B. boops were higher than those reported from Aegean Sea [29 and 44], Mediterranean Sea [35, 36 and 44], lower than those reported from Mersin, Mediterranean Sea [30], Tripali Port, Libya [45], and similar to those reported from Atlantic Sea [46]. Cd concentration in the gill and liver tissue of *B. boops* was similar to a previous study from the Mediterranean Sea [35]. Cd concentration in the muscle of T. trachurus was similar to studies reported from Sinop, Black Sea [37], Ghana [47], higher than those reported from Adriatic Sea [39], Black Sea [28] and lower than those reported from Egypt [48]. Cd concentration in liver tissue of T.

NWSA

Doğan, S., Kılıç, E., Uğurlu, E., and Duysak, Ö., Ecological Life Sciences, 2023, 18(1):8-29.

trachurus was similar to previous studies from Egypt [48], Black Sea [37] and Adriatic Sea [39].

Minimum and maximum lead (Pb) concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *M. barbatus* was varied between  $0.01-0.02\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.01-0.03\mu g$ g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.02-0.09 $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Lower accumulation levels in the muscle tissue of *M. barbatus* were reported from Albanian Sea [39], Mediterranean Sea [4, 26, 42, 43], Black Sea [26, 28 and 49], Aegean Sea [33], Algeria [34], Sicily channel [40], and similar accumulation levels were reported from Black Sea [27] and Mediterranean Sea [11]. Pb concentration in the liver and gill estimated in this study were lower than those reported from Aegean Sea [33] and higher than those reported from Algerian [34]. Pb concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of B. boops was varied between 0.08-2.07µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.73-4.00µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.49-3.25µg  $g^{-1}$ , respectively. In literature similar accumulation levels in the muscle tissue were reported from the Mediterranean Sea [40 and 44]; while, lower levels in the muscle, gill and liver tissue were reported from Egypt [35 and 36], the Aegean Sea [29]. Pb concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of T. trachurus was varied between 0.16-0.58µg g<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>,  $0.73-1.47\mu g q^{-1}$ ,  $0.15-2.59\mu g q^{-1}$ , respectively. Previous studies reported comparable accumulation levels in the muscle and liver tissues of T. trachurus from the Mediterranean Sea [48], Turkish coastline [37], Ghana [47]. Lower accumulation in the muscle and liver tissue were reported in the Adriatic Sea [39], Black Sea [28]; whereas; higher accumulation levels in the gill and liver tissues were reported from Egypt, Mediterranean Sea [48].

Minimum and maximum manganese (Mn) concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *M*. barbatus were estimated as  $0.01-0.02\mu g g^{-1}$ , 0.01- $0.03 \mu g~^{-1},~0.02-0.09 \mu g~^{-1},$  respectively. Reported accumulation levels in the tissues of M. barbatus in this study were comparable to those reported from the Albanian Sea [39]; Mediterranean Sea [4, 11 and 26], Black Sea [26 and 27], Aegean Sea [33], Algeria [34]; whereas, higher accumulation in the muscle tissue was reported from Black Sea [49]. Manganese concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *B. boops* was varied between  $0.07-0.58\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $3.44-13.25\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.24-2.86\mu g g^{-1}$ , respectively. Similar concentrations in the muscle tissue were reported from the Aegean Sea [29], the Mediterranean Sea [35]; while higher concentrations were reported from Mersin, Mediterranean Sea [30]. In addition, Tekin-Özan [35] reported lower accumulation levels in the gill and liver tissue from Mersin, Mediterranean Sea. Mn concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of T. trachurus was varied between 0.04-0.36µg g<sup>-</sup> 1, 1.48-4.19µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 0.38-2.39µg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Reported concentrations in the tissues of T. trachurus were similar to those reported from Nigeria [38], Turkish coastal waters [37], Ghana [47]; whereas, lower than those reported from the Black Sea [41].

Minimum and maximum Fe concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *M. barbatus*, *B. boops* and *T. trachurus* were found as  $0.74-19.63\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $48.08-441.25\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $5.01-136.07\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $1.88-6.60\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $42.88-210.88\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $82.81-329.25\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $1.61-10.56\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $60.24-117.43\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,  $42.71-495.11\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Fe accumulation levels in the tissues of *M. barbatus* was within a similar range of previous studies reported from the Mediterranean Sea, Black Sea, Aegean Sea [4, 11, 26, 27, 28, 33, 34, 49 and 50]. Fe accumulation in the tissues of *B. boops* was comparable with the studies conducted in the Mediterranean Sea, Aegean Sea [29, 30, 35 and 44]; whereas, lower accumulation levels were reported from Libya [45]. Fe concentration in the muscle of *T. trachurus* was lower than the studies reported in the Black Sea [28, 37 and 45]; however, concentration in the liver tissue was within the same variation range [37].

Minimum and maximum copper (Cu) concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *M. barbatus* were varied between  $0.04-0.68\mu g^{-1}$ ,  $0.37-0.92\mu g$ 



 $g^{-1}$ , 5.01-136.07µg  $g^{-1}$ , respectively. Reported accumulation levels in the tissues of *M. barbatus* in this study were comparable to those reported from the Mediterranean Sea [11, 26, 40 and 43], Aegean Sea [32 and 40]; Black Sea [26, 28 and 49], Algeria [34]; whereas, higher accumulation levels were reported from Mediterranean Sea [4] and Black Sea [50]. Cu concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *B. boops* was varied between 0.02-0.08µg  $g^{-1}$ , 0.28-0.92µg  $g^{-1}$ , 1.75-4.64µg  $g^{-1}$ , respectively. Higher concentration levels in the tissues of *B. boops* were reported from Aegean Sea, Black Sea and Mediterranean Sea [29, 30, 35, 36, 44 and 45]. Cu concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *T. trachurus* was varied between 0.12-0.98µg  $g^{-1}$ , 0.96-1.87µg  $g^{-1}$ , 1.67-5.33µg  $g^{-1}$ , respectively. Reported concentrations in the tissues of *T. trachurus* was varied between Similar those reported from Nigeria [38], Egypt [48], Turkish coastal waters [37], Ghana [47]; whereas, higher concentrations in the muscle and liver tissue were reported from Egypt [46], Black Sea [41].

Minimum and maximum zinc (Zn) concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of *M. barbatus* were determined as  $0.04-0.68\mu g g^{-1}$ ,  $0.37-0.92\mu g$ g<sup>-1</sup>, 5.01-136.07µg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Accumulation levels in the tissues were similar to the studies reported from Aegean Sea [32 and 33], Black Sea [26, 28 and 49] and Mediterranean Sea [26, 40 and 43], Algeria [34]; on the other hand, higher levels were reported from Mediterranean Sea [4, 11 and 50]. Zn concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of B. boops was varied between 0.67-5.87µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 6.02-24.71µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 14.45-40.00µg  $q^{-1}$ , respectively. In accumulation levels in the tissues of *B. boops* were similar to the studies reported from the Aegean Sea [29 and 44], Mediterranean Sea [35, 36, 44 and 45]; on the other hand, higher levels were reported from Mersin, Mediterranean Sea [30]. Zn concentration in the muscle, gill and liver of T. trachurus was varied between 3.06-18.9µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 12.38-24.05µg g<sup>-1</sup>, 16.38-39.39µg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Zn accumulation levels in the tissues of T. trachurus were similar to the studies reported from Black Sea [37 and 41] and Mediterranean Sea [48]; whereas, lower levels were reported from Black Sea [28], Ghana [47].

As it can be seen from the literature, metal accumulation in the tissues did not show clear picture even in the studies conducted in same region. This situation represents that metal accumulation strongly influenced by environmental factors. Metal accumulation levels in the fish impacted by the pollution level in the surrounding environment and represent the pollution status of sampling area [51]. Mutlu et al., [37] reported variable accumulation levels in muscle and liver tissues of T. trachurus from Turkish coastline. In addition, this heterogeneity observed in the metal accumulation levels might be related with the sampling time and season. In fact, season is the most well-known parameter which impact the accumulation rate in fish. For example, Uçar [30] found seasonal variation in the heavy metal accumulation levels in the muscle of *M. barbatus* from Mediterranean Sea. Similarly, Durmus [41] reported seasonal variation in the metal concentration in the muscle tissue T. trachurus sampled from Black Sea. Furthermore, heavy metal accumulation was affected by gender [41], size of the examined species [31 and 32]. To sum up, all these environmental and biological factors create a heterogeneity in the reported accumulation levels.

## 4.2. Metal Accumulation Levels Between Species

In this study, metal accumulation levels were varied depending on the specie (Table 3 and Table 5). Variations in the metal accumulation levels may arise from the variations in reproductive cycle, growth cycle, metabolism, habitat, feeding strategies of species [52 and 54]. *M. barbatus* is demersal specie which usually found on gravel, sand and mud bottoms. It feeds on small benthic crustaceans, worms and mollusks. *B. boops* usually found in shelf and coastal pelagic waters on various



bottoms. It is omnivorous and usually feed on planktophagous and crustaceans. T. trachurus is pelagic-neritic specie that feeds on fish, crustaceans, and cephalopods [55]. Results obtained in this study showed that highest Co, Ni, Mn, Fe accumulation was observed in the muscle of demersal M. barbatus; whereas, highest Al, Cr, Cd, Cu, Zn accumulation were found in the muscle of pelagic T. trachurus. Concentration of metals were distributed to the water column depending on the redox potential of environment [49]. For that reason, species whose have close relationship with sediment accumulate higher amounts of metals in their tissues [30 and 43]. In this study, a clear difference could not be obtained, some metals were found to be more accumulative in pelagic fish species; while, some metals were more accumulative in demersal fish B. barbatus. This conflicting result was also observed in previous studies. For instance, similar to this study, Varol et al., [29] found the highest Al, As, Cd and Pb concentration in the flesh of demersal M. barbatus. On the other hand, Uçar [30] found higher accumulation levels in the B. boops which have close relationship with sediment.

### 4.3. Accumulation Levels Among Metal Types

Accumulation levels in the tissue was differed depend on type of the metal. Accumulation levels, depending on mean concentrations, in the tissues of studies species are as follows:

Fe>Zn>Al>Pb>Mn>Cr>Cu>Ni>Co>Cd; Μ. barbatus (muscle: gills: Fe>Al>Zn>Mn>Pb>Cr>Ni>Cu>Co>Cd; liver: Fe>Zn>Cu>Pb>Mn>Al>Co>Cr>Ni>Cd), (muscle: Fe>Zn>Pb>Al>Mn>Cr>Ni>Cu>Co>Cd; Β. boops gills: Fe>Zn>Mn>Pb>Al>Cu>Cr>Ni>Co>Cd; liver: Fe>Zn>Cu>Pb>Cd>Al>Cr>Cd>Co>Ni), Zn>Fe>Al>Cu>Pb>Cr>Mn>Ni>Co>Cd; Τ. trachurus (muscle: gill: Fe>Zn>Al>Cu>Pb>Mn>Cr>Cd>Co>Ni). In this study, essential elements Fe Al, Zn were found as the most accumulated metal; whereas, Co, Cd, Ni were the least accumulated metals (Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5). Similar outcome was reported from Aegean Sea Black Sea, North eastern Mediterranean [33]. Higher accumulation of Fe and Zn in the tissues may be related with the higher availability of them in sea water; since fish have tendency the bioaccumulate metals in water column [38 and 46].

# 4.4. Accumulation Levels Between Tissues

Results showed variation in the metal accumulation levels between tissues that could be explained with the difference ratios of metallothioneins in different tissues [57] (Table 3 and Table 5). Muscle was found as the least accumulative tissue regardless of specie or metal type which is coherent to the previous studies [12 and 33]. The highest Al, Ni, Mn accumulation was observed in gill; whereas, the highest Co, Cd, Fe, Cu, Zn accumulation was found in liver. In literature, it has been reported that metals like Mn have tendency to accumulate in gills [58 and 59]. This is expected since gills are responsible for the uptake of resuspended and ionized metals from water column [32]. Higher accumulation of metals in the liver tissue is a well-known phenomenon and reported in many studies [32, 34, 35 and 48]. Metallothioneins found in tissues transport the metal ions into liver because, liver has vital role in synthesis of metal binding proteins and detoxification [60 and 61].

### 4.5. Variations in Oxidative Stress Biomarkers

Malondialdehyde (MDA) is the end product of lipid peroxidation and used as indicator for free radical production in stressed cells [62]. For that reason, MDA activity in the organism is considered as typical defense component against metal contamination. On the other hand, catalase enzyme activity initiates under oxidative stress resulting from pollutant-induced stress, so enhanced levels in the CAT activity may



represent formation of adaptation equilibrium [63]. As a result, MDA and CAT levels were used as sensitive indicators to monitor pollution status in marine environments [64 and 65]. Mean MDA and CAT activity of all studies fish was varied between 1.40-13.70nmol/mg, 2.05-10.10k/g protein in muscle and 3.90-88.95nmol/mg, 2.05-5.00-18.20k/g protein in liver, respectively. Estimated concentrations were similar to previous studies conducted in marine environment [64 and 67] and freshwater resources [68 and 69]. Previous studies conducted in the surface rivers clearly showed that as the metal concentrations in the ambient environment increases, MDA and CAT levels in the tissues were increases [63 and 70]. Similar conclusion was reported from laboratory studies [71]. This could be the explanation of the variation in the MDA and CAT levels among stations (Table 6).

Table 6. Oxidative stress parameters (MDA and CAT) of *M. babatus*, *B. boops* and *T. trachurus* 

Crana di a	Otation	CAT (k/g	protein)	MDA (nm	nol mg-1)	
Specie	Station	Muscle	Liver	Muscle	Liver	
	İskenderun	6.20±0.1	9.10±0.1	3.33±0.03	18.10±13.77	
	Antalya	5.90±0.1	11.70±0.1	2.51±0.04	10.83±0.03	
	Tekirdağ	5.70±0.05	12.10±0.1	1.78±0.01	9.47±0.07	
M. Barbatus	Çanakkale	5.40±0.05	5.40±0.05 12.30±0.15 2.30±0.05			
	Aydın	2.10±0.1	12.70±0.15	5.47±0.07	8.44±0.14	
	Bandırma	6.10±0.1	6.10±0.1	3.13±0.10	62.96±0.06	
	Bodrum	5.80±0.05	10.90±0.2	2.92±0.04	6.73±0.03	
	İskenderun	7.10±0.1	14.20±0.2	3.54±0.04	26.10±0.10	
	Antalya	8.20±0.1	15.40±0.2	2.37±0.07	9.84±0.04	
	Tekirdağ	4.10±0.05	8.90±0.2	12.41±0.05	55.97±0.07	
B. Boops	Çanakkale	8.10±0.1	13.80±0.1	8.37±0.07	43.04±0.04	
	Aydın	3.70±0.15	14.30±0.1	13.70±0.10	47.77±0.06	
	Bandırma	4.10±0.1	5.10±0.1	12.74±0.04	88.85±0.06	
	Bodrum	8.30±0.15	13.30±0.2	2.25±0.05	50.69±0.09	
	İskenderun	5.60±0.1	11.20±0.2	3.24±0.04	8.38±0.08	
	Antalya	3.30±0.1	9.90±0.2	9.01±0.06	11.62±0.07	
	Tekirdağ	7.90±0.1	17.40±0.4	2.45±0.05	4.00±0.10	
T. trachurus	Çanakkale	4.90±0.1	18.20±0.2	3.58±0.08	4.43±0.07	
	Aydın	9.90±0.1	16.90±0.3	1.45±0.05	7.84±0.04	
	Bandırma	8.60±0.05	17.30±0.3	2.34±0.04	4.64±0.10	
	Bodrum	10.10±0.1	16.40±0.3	1.47±0.07	5.97±0.07	

Correlation analysis showed that there is a significant positive correlation between Fe, Cu, Cr and MDA levels and negative correlation between MDA and CAT in the muscle (Figure 2). Similarly, MDA levels were found to be positively correlated with Al, Cr, Cd and CAT levels were found to be negatively correlated with Cd, Al and MDA. Previous studies from marine environments also reported similar correlations [65, 66 and 68].





PCA (Principal Component Analysis) was conducted to understand the parameters that describe the difference in metals and biostress parameters. In muscle, first two principal component explained 50% and 25% of variance, respectively. First component have positive strong loading of Fe, Zn, MDA and moderate negative loading of CAT. In liver, first principal component explained 94% of total variance which has high Fe and moderate MDA loading (Figure 3). Therefore, PCA analysis showed that MDA and CAT activity levels could effectively be used to address pollution differences between stations.



Figure 3. PCA diagram indicating the variation in (a)muscle, (b)liver of fish

Similar to the metal's levels, MDA and CAT activity levels were varied between tissues and higher levels were reported from liver tissue (Table 6). Presumably, this is the result of higher concentrations of metals in the liver tissue. Higher MDA and CAT levels in the liver were also reported from previous studies [9, 62 and 69]. Concentrations of biostress parameters were varied among species. MDA and CAT activity levels in the muscle and liver (based on mean measurements) were ranked as *T. trachurus>B. boops>M. barbatus* and *M. barbatus>T. trachurus>B. boops*, respectively. In literature, studies examining the biostress parameters of these species were limited. Therefore, concentrations in the accumulation levels and differences depending on habitat and feeding strategy needs to be further evaluated.

## 4.6. Health Risk Assessment

Even though some metals are essential for growth, overconsumption may be toxic and have harmful effects on reproductive system, nervous system, immune system [72 and 73]. Previous studies underline that metal toxicity may cause cancer development, cause cardio vascular diseases, Alzheimer, dementia and Parkinson's disease [12, 74 and 75]. For that reason, health risk assessment depends on the consumption of commonly consumed fish species from Turkish territorial waters (*M. barbatus*, *B. boops*, *T. trachurus*) were determined (Table 7 and Table 9).



Tabl	Tablo 7. Results of health risk assessment for <i>M. barbatus</i>											
		Al	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn	
İskenderun	THQ	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4 x 10-5	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10-5	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
	CR	-	7x10-7	-	2x10-6	-	-	-	6 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-	
Antalya	THQ	3 x 10-5	4 x 10-4	2 x 10-3	1 x 10-3	4 x 10-4	6 x 10-4	5 x 10-5	5 x 10-5	2 x 10-3	3 x 10-4	
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-	
Bodrum	THQ	1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10-5	4 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
	CR	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-	
Aydın	THQ	8 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	4 x 10-5	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10-5	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
	CR	-	1 x 10-6	-	9 x 10-7	-	-	-	1 x 10-6	5 x 10-8	-	
Çanakkale	THQ	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
	CR	-	3 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	-	
Tekirdağ	THQ	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	7 x 10-5	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
	CR	-	3 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	9 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	9 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-	
Bandırma	THQ	5 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	9 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3 x 10-7	
	CR	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	9 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	-	-	-	1 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-	

Tablo 8. Result	s of	health	risk	assessment	for	В	boops
-----------------	------	--------	------	------------	-----	---	-------

										-	
		Al	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
İskenderun	THQ	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10-3	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	8 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Antalya	THQ	9 x 10-6	2 x 10-4	1 x 10-3	1 x 10-3	2 x 10-5	2 x 10-4	3 x 10-5	2 x 10-5	7 x 10-4	3 x 10-4
	CR	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	8 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Bodrum	THQ	1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	6 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	-	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4 x 10-7	-
Aydın	THQ	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	1 x 10-6	-	8 x 10-7	-	-	-	8 x 10-7	6 x 10-8	-
Çanakkale	THQ	9 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4 x 10-4	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	4 x 10-5	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	7 x 10-5	4 x 10-5	2 x 10-3	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	3 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	7 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Tekirdağ	THQ	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	9 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 x 10-3	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	-
Bandırma	THQ	4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	7 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	7 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	9 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>
	CR	-	$1 \times 10^{-6}$	-	7 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	-	-	-	$8 \times 10^{-7}$	$3 \times 10^{-8}$	- 1

Tal	blo	9. Results of health			risk assessment for <i>T. trachurus</i>						
		Al	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
İskenderun	THQ	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	7 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	7 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Antalya	THQ	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	9 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Bodrum	THQ	1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10-5	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	6 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Aydın	THQ	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Çanakkale	THQ	1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	9 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	4 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	-
Tekirdağ	THQ	9 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1 x 10-3	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	3 x 10-4	1 x 10-5	2 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	7 x 10-4
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	6 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-
Bandırma	THQ	7 x 10-6	3 x 10-4	2 x 10-3	7 x 10-4	2 x 10-4	6 x 10-5	5 x 10-5	2 x 10-5	1 x 10-3	3 x 10-4
	CR	-	2 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-	-	6 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	-

In this study, target hazard quotient was found to be less than 1 which indicates safe consumption of these species from Turkish waters. In fact, estimated numbers were so small that, even consumption on daily basis does not pose a health problem. Similarly, lifetime cancer risk of Cr, Cd, Pb, Ni < $10^{-5}$  indicating no health risk depending on the consumption. Previous studies conducted in coastal waters of Turkey was also reported safe consumption [4, 11, 13, 51, 76 and 77]. On the other hand, Pazi et al. [78] reported that consumption of *M. barbatus*, *P. erythrinus* could be dangerous to human health due to high Hg content in Aliaga Bay; whereas, they were safe to be consumed from İzmir Bay. Kosker et al., [19] estimated that consumption of fish and crustacean in Mersin Bay is dangerous in terms of as intake; whereas, it is safe in terms of other metals in Mersin Bay.

### 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study was conducted to insight some information on metal accumulation and related health risk assessment depending on consumption of *M. barbatus*, *B. boops*, *T. trachurus*. This study includes the first report regarding the oxidative stress parameters of studied fishes from Aegean, Marmara and Mediterranean Sea. Results revealed that heavy metal accumulation showed significant differences depend on heavy metal type and tissue. The highest Al, Ni, Mn accumulation was observed in gill; whereas, the highest Co, Cd, Fe, Cu, Zn accumulation was found in liver. In general, Fe and Zn were found to be most accumulative metals in the



tissues; on the other hand, Co and Cd were found to be least accumulative ones regardless of specie. Health risk assessment showed safe consumption of these species from Turkish coastal waters. Even though, results obtained in this study were consistent with previous studies, monitoring programs should be continued to ensure the quality of marine environments. In addition, further studies should focus on the metal toxicity response of these species and usage of biostress parameters as pollution indicator.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Serdar Doğan, Önder Duysak, Erkan Uğurlu and statistical analysis were conducted by Önder Duysak and Ece Kılıç. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Ece Kılıç and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated during the current study are not publicly available due to but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to be disclosed.

### FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

## DECLARATION OF ETHICAL STANDARDS

This is an observational study and dead specimens obtained from fisherman was analyzed. So, no ethical approval is required for this study.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Tran, T.A.M., Leermakers, M., Hoang, T.L., and Elskens, M., (2018). Metals and arsenic in sediment and fish from Cau Hai lagoon in Vietnam: Ecological and human health risks. Chemosphere, 210:175-182.
- [2] Qin, D., Jiang, H., Bai, S., Tang, S., and Mou, Z., (2015). Determination of 28 trace elements in three farmed cyprinid fish species from Northeast China. Food Control, 50:1-8.
- [3] Keshavarzi, B., Hassanaghaei, M., Moore, F., Mehr, M.R., Soltanian, S., Lahijanzadeh, A.R., and Sorooshian, A., (2018). Heavy metal contamination and health risk assessment in three commercial fish species in the Persian Gulf. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 129(1):245-252.
- [4] Korkmaz, C., Ay, Ö., Ersoysal, Y., Köroğlu, M.A., and Erdem, C., (2019). Heavy metal levels in muscle tissues of some fish species caught from north-east Mediterranean: Evaluation of their effects on human health. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 81:1-9.
- [5] Eken, M., Aydın, F., Turan, F., and Ali, U., (2017). Bioaccumulation of some heavy metals on silver-cheeked toadfish (Lagocephalus sceleratus) from Antalya Bay, Turkey. NESciences, 2(3):12-21.
- [6] Valavanidis, A., Vlahogianni, T., Dassenakis, M., and Scoullos, M., (2006). Molecular biomarkers of oxidative stress in aquatic



organisms in relation to toxic environmental pollutants. Ecotoxicological Environmental Safety, 64:178-189.

- [7] Raimundo, J., Costa, P.M., Vale, C., Costa, M.H., and Moura, I., (2010). Metallothioneins and trace elements in digestive gland, gills, kidney and gonads of Octopus vulgaris. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology, 152:139-146.
- [8] Semedo, M., Reis-Henriques, M.A., Rey-Salgueiro, L., Oliveira, M., Delerue-Matos, C., Morais, S., and Ferreira, M., (2012). Metal accumulation and oxidative stress biomarkers in octopus (Octopus vulgaris) from Northwest Atlantic. Science of The Total Environment, 433:230-237.
- [9] Sillero-Ríos, J., Sureda, A., Capó, X., Oliver-Codorniú, M., and Arechavala-Lopez, P., (2018). Biomarkers of physiological responses of Octopus vulgaris to different coastal environments in the western Mediterranean Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 128:240-247.
- [10] El-Saidy, A.S., El-Khodary, M.G., Omran, E.N., Abd El-Aziz, K.K., and Mona, H.M., (2020). Seasonal variations of heavy metals in the marine bivalve Cerastoderma glaucum (Bruguière, 1789) from Temsah Lake, Ismailia, Egypt, and their relation to antioxidant enzymes. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, 24(3):257-270.
- [11] Korkmaz, C., Ay, Ö., Çolakfakıoğlu, C., Cicik, B., and Erdem,
   C., (2017). Heavy metal levels in muscle tissues of Solea solea,
   Mullus barbatus, and Sardina pilchardus marketed for consumption
   in Mersin, Turkey. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 228(8):315.
- [12] Yilmaz, A.B., Yanar, A., and Alkan, E., (2018). Review of heavy metal accumulation in aquatic environment of Northern East Mediterrenean Sea part II: Some non-essential metals. Pollution, 4(1):143-181.
- [13] Kılıç, E., Can, M.F., and Yanar, A., (2021). Assessment of some heavy metal accumulation and potential health risk for three fish species from three consecutive bay in North-Eastern Mediterranean Sea. Marine and Life Sciences, 3(1):24-38.
- [14] Tüzen, M., (2003). Determination of heavy metals in fish samples of the Middle Black Sea (Turkey) by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Food Chemistry, 80:119-123.
- [15] Hammouda, A., el-R Khalil, M.M., and Salem, A., (1995). Lipid peroxidation products in pleural fluid for separation of transudates and exudates. Clinical Chemistry, 41(9):1314-5.
- [16] Aebi, H., (1974). Catalase. In Methods of enzymatic analysis. Academic press.
- [17] Hammer, Q., Harper, D.A.T., and Ryan, P.D., (2001). Past: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontologia Electronica, 4(1):9.
- [18] Can, M.F., Yılmaz, A.B., Yanar, A., and Kılıc, E., (2020). Assessment of accumulation and potential health risk of Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn in fish from North-Eastern Mediterranean Sea. Pollution, 6(3):597-610.
- [19] Kosker, A.R., (2020). Metal and fatty acid levels of some commercially important marine species from the northeastern Mediterranean: benefits and health risk estimation. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 192:1-16.
- [20] Yi, Y., Yang, Z., and Zhang, S., (2011). Ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in sediment and human health risk assessment of heavy metals in fishes in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River basin. Environmental Pollution, 159(10):2575-2585.



- [21] Storelli, M.M., (2008). Potential human health risks from metals (Hg, Cd, and Pb) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) via seafood consumption: Estimation of target hazard quotients (THQs) and toxic equivalents (TEQs). Food and Chemical Toxicology, 46(8):2782-2788.
- [22] Bennett, D.H., Kastenberg, W.E.E., and McKone, T.E.E., (1999). A multimedia, multiple pathway risk assessment of atrazine: The impact of age differentiated exposure including joint uncertainty and variability. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 63(2):185-198.
- [23] TÜİK, (2020). Su Ürünleri İstatistikleri. https://www.tarimorman.gov.tr/BSGM/Belgeler/Icerikler/Su%20%C3%9 Cr%C3%BCnleri%20Veri%20ve%20D%C3%B6k%C3%BCmanlar%C4%B1/Su-Urunleri-C4%B0statistikleri-temmuz-2021-1.pdf Accessed 14.01.2022.
- [24] USEPA, (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), (2019a). Regional screening levels (RSLs) - equations. https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rslsequations .Accessed 14.01.2022.
- [25] USEPA, (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), (2019b). FIVE YEAR REVIEW REPORT https://semspub.epa.gov/work/05/949589.pdf. Accessed 14.01.2022.
- [26] Turan, C., Dural, M., Oksuz, A., and Öztürk, B., (2009). Levels of heavy metals in some commercial fish species captured from the black sea and mediterranean coast of turkey. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 82(5):601-604.
- [27] Findik, Ö. and Çiçek, E., (2011). Metal concentrations in two bioindicator fish species, Merlangius merlangus, Mullus barbatus, captured from the west black sea coasts (Bartin) of Turkey. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 87(4):399-403.
- [28] Gündoğdu, A., Culha, S.T., Kocbas, F., and Culha, M., (2016). Heavy Metal Accummulation in muscles and total bodies of Mullus barbatus, trachurus trachurus and engraulis encrasicolus captured from the coast of sinop, black sea. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 48(1):25-34.
- [29] Varol, M., Kaya, G.K., and Sünbül, M.R., (2019). Evaluation of health risks from exposure to arsenic and heavy metals through consumption of ten fish species. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26(32):33311-33320.
- [30] Uçar, Y., (2020). Elemental Compositions aBDL Fatty Acid Profiles of Bogue Fish (Boops boops) From Mediterranean Coast: A Comprehensive Evaluation of the Potential Effects on Human Health. Biological Trace Element Research, 196(1):272-284.
- [31] Ozuni, E., Dhaskali, L., Abeshi, J., Zogaj, M., Haziri, I., Bequiraj, D., and Latifi, F., (2010). Heavy metal in fish for public consumption and consumer protection. Natura Montenegrina, Podgorica, 9(3):843-851.
- [32] Giannakopoulou, L. and Neofitou, C., (2014). Heavy metal concentrations in Mullus barbatus and Pagellus erythrinus in relation to body size, gender, and seasonality. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 21(11):7140-7153.
- [33] Kontas, A., Alyuruk, H., Bilgin, M., Uluturhan, E., Ünlüoğlu, A., Darilmaz, E., and Altay, O., (2022). Metal Bioaccumulation and Potential Health Risk Assessment in Different Tissues of Three Commercial Fish Species (Merluccius merluccius, Mullus barbatus, and Pagellus erythrinus) from Edremit Bay (Aegean Sea), Turkey. Biological Trace Element Research, 200(2):868-880.



- [34] Bachouche, S., Houma, F., Gomiero, A., and Rabah, B., (2017). Distribution and Environmental Risk Assessment of Heavy Metal in Surface Sediments and Red Mullet (Mullus barbatus) from Algiers and BouIsmail Bay (Algeria). Environmental Modeling & Assessment, 22(5):473-490.
- [35] Tekin-Özan, S., (2014). Seasonal variations of some heavy metals in bogue (boops boops 1.) inhabiting Antalya bay- Mediterrenean sea, Turkey. Indian Journal of Geo-Marine Sciences, 43(2):198-207.
- [36] Abdallah, M.A.M., (2008). Trace element levels in some commercially valuable fish species from coastal waters of Mediterranean Sea, Egypt. Journal of Marine Systems, 73(1-2):114-122.
- [37] Mutlu, C., Turkmen, A., Turkmen, M., Tepe, Y., and Ates, A., (2012). Comparison of the heavy metal concentrations in atlantic horse mackerel, trachurus trachurus, from coastal waters of turkey. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 21(2):304-307.
- [38] Kigigha, L.T. and Izah, S.C., (2017). International Journal of Healthcare and Health Risk Assessment of Heavy Metal in Smoked Trachurus Trachurus Sold in Yenagoa, Bayelsa state, Nigeria. International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health, 3(9):62-69.
- [39] Ozuni, E., Dhaskalı, L., Abeshi, J., and Beqıraj, D., (2012). Levels Of Heavy Metals (Hg, Pb, Cd, Abdl Cr) in Tissues of Trachurus Trachurus. Albanian The Journal of Agricultural Science, 3(11).
- [40] Conti, G.O., Copat, C., Ledda, C., Fiore, M., Fallico, R., Sciacca, S., and Ferrante, M., (2012). Evaluation of heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Mullus barbatus from sicily channel and risk-based consumption limits. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 88(6):946-950.
- [41] Durmuş, M., (2019). Evaluation of Nutritional and Mineral-Heavy Metal Contents of Horse Mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) in the Middle Black Sea in terms of Human Health. Biological Trace Element Research, 190(1):208-216.
- [42] Traina, A., Bono, G., Bonsignore, M., Falco, F., Giuga, M., Quinci, E.M., Vitale, S., and Sprovieri, M., (2019). Heavy metals concentrations in some commercially key species from Sicilian coasts (Mediterranean Sea): Potential human health risk estimation. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 168:466-478.
- [43] Yipel, M., and Yarsan, E., (2014). A risk assessment of heavy metal concentrations in fish and an invertebrate from the gulf of antalya. Bull Environmental Contamination Toxicology, 93(5):542-548.
- [44] Ozyilmaz, A. and Miçooğulları, I., (2020). Differences in proximate lipid composition, heavy metals, and mineral contents in bogue (Boops boops, Linnaueus, 1758) captured far away and directly at sea bass and sea bream cage farms. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 36(6):901-905.
- [45] Okbah, M., Dango, E.A.S., and El Zokm, G.M., (2018). Heavy metals in Fish Species from Mediterranean Coast, Tripoli Port (Libya): A comprehensive assessment of the potential adverse effects on human health. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, 22(5):149-164
- [46] Chahid, A., Hilali, M., Benlhachimi, A., and Bouzid, T., (2014). Contents of cadmium, mercury and lead in fish from the Atlantic sea (Morocco) determined by atomic absorption spectrometry. Food Chemistry, 147:357-360.



- [47] Kwaansa-Ansah, E.E., Nti, S.O., and Opoku, F., (2019). Heavy metals concentration aBDL human health risk assessment in seven commercial fish species from Asafo Market, Ghana. Food Science and Biotechnology, 28(2):569-579.
- [48] A.El Zlitne, R., Sharaf, M.S., and Eissa, A.E., (2022). Heavy metals concentration patterns in the Atlantic horse meckrel (Trachurus trachurus), the RouBDL sardinella (Sardinella aurita) and the Common panadora (Pagellus erythrinus) from northwestern Egyptian coasts. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, 26(1):63-81.
- [49] Nisbet, C., Terzi, G., Pilgir, O., and Sarac, N., (2009). Orta Karadeniz Bölgesinden Toplanan Balıklarda Ağır Metal Düzeylerinin Belirlenmesi. Kafkas Universitesi Veteriner Fakultesi Dergisi.
- [50] Çiçek, E., Avşar, D., Yeldan, H., and Manaşirli, M., (2008). Heavy metal concentrations in fish (Mullus barbatus, Pagellus erythrinus and Saurida undosquamis) from Iskenderun Bay, Turkey. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 17(9):1251-1256.
- [51] Dural, M., Genc, E., Yemenicioglu, S., and Sangun, M.K., (2010). Accumulation of some heavy metals seasonally in Hysterotylacium aduncum (Nematoda) and its host Red Sea Bream, Pagellus erythrinus (Sparidae) from Gulf of Iskenderun (North-Eastern Mediterranean). Bulletin Environmental Contamination Toxicology, 84(1):125-131.
- [52] Ateş, A., Türkmen, M., and Tepe, Y., (2015) Assessment of heavy metals in fourteen marine fish species of four turkish seas. Indian Journal of Geomarine Sciences, 44(1):49-55.
- [53] Monikh, F.A., Safahieh, A., Savari, A., and Doraghi, A., (2013). Heavy metal concentration in sediment, benthic, benthopelagic, and pelagic fish species from Musa Estuary (Persian Gulf). Environmental Monitoring Assessment, 185.
- [54] Arechavala-Lopez, P., Capó, X., Oliver-Codorniú, M., Sillero-Rios, J., Busquets-Cortés, C., Sanchez-Jerez, P., and Sureda, A., (2019). Fatty acids and elemental composition as biomarkers of Octopus vulgaris populations: Does origin matter? Marine Pollution Bulletin, 139(December 2018), 299-310.
- [55] Froese, R. and Pauly, D., (2022). FishBase.World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org.
- [56] Türkmen, A. and Aras, S., (2011). Investigation of Heavy Metal Accumulation Occured in Seawater and Sediment from the Gulf of Iskenderun. The Black Sea Journal of Sciences, 3:1-23.
- [57] Spanopoulos-Zarco, P., Ruelas-Inzunza, J., Aramburo-Moran, I.S., Bojórquez-Leyva, H., and Páez-Osuna, F., (2017). Differential Tissue Accumulation of Copper, Iron, and Zinc in Bycatch Fish from the Mexican Pacific. Biological Trace Element Research, 176:201-206.
- [58] El-Moselhy, K.M., Othman, A.I., Abd El-Azem, H. and El-Metwally, M.E.A. (2014). Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in some tissues of fish inMetal Bioaccumulation and Potential Health Risk Assessment in Different Tissues of Three Commercial Fish from the Red Sea, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 1:97-105.
- [59] Soliman, N.F. and Nasr, S.M., (2015). Metal contents in common edible fish species and evaluation of potential health risk to consumers. Journal of coastal life medicine, 12(3):956-961.
- [60] Kaya, H., (2012). Tilapia'da (Orechromis mossambicus) Kurşun Toksisitesi: Oksidatif Stress ve Bazı Fizyolojik Etkiler (Doktora Tezi). Çanakkale: Çanakkale 18 Mart Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü.



- [61] La Colla, N.S., Botté, S.E., and Marcovecchio, J.E., (2018). Metals in coastalzones impacted with urban and industrial wastes: insights on themetal accumulation pattern in fish species. Journal of Marine Systems, 181:53-62.
- [62] Li, B., Shi, J.B., Wang, X., Meng, M., Huang, L., Qi, X.L., He, B., and Ye, Z.H., (2013). Variations and constancy of mercury and methylmercury accumu-lation in rice grown at contaminated paddy field sites in three Provinces of China. Environmental Pollution, 181:91-97.
- [63] Das, D., Moniruzzaman, M., Sarbajna, A., and Chakraborty, S.B., (2017). Effect of heavy metals on tissue-specific antioxidant response in Indian major carps. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 24(22):18010-18024.
- [64] Fernandes, C., Fontaínhas-Fernandes, A., Ferreira, M., and Salgado, M.A., (2008). Oxidative stress response in gill and liver of Liza saliens, from the Esmoriz-Paramos coastal lagoon, Portugal. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 55(2):262-269.
- [65] Copat, C., Rizzo, M., Zuccaro, A., Grasso, A., Zuccarello, P., Fiore, M., Mancini, G., and Ferrante, M., (2020). Metals/Metalloids and Oxidative Status Markers in Saltwater Fish from the Ionic Coast of Sicily, Mediterranean Sea. International Journal of Environmental Research, 14(1):15-27.
- [66] Barrera-García, A., O'Hara, T., Galván-Magaña, F., Méndez-Rodríguez, L.C., Castellini, J.M., and Zenteno-Savín, T., (2012). Oxidative stress indicators and trace elements in the blue shark (Prionace glauca) off the east coast of the Mexican Pacific Ocean. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology, 156(2):59-66.
- [67] Thitiyan, T., Pongdontri, P., Tengjaroenkul, B., and Neeratanaphan, L., (2021). Bioaccumulation and oxidative stress in Barbonymus gonionotus affected by heavy metals and metalloid in municipal landfill reservoir. International Journal of Environmental Studies, 79(1):98-113.
- [68] Aboul-Ela, H.M., Saad, A.A., El-Sikaily, A.M.A., and Zaghloul, T.I., (2011). Oxidative stress and DNA damage in relation to transition metals overload in Abu-Qir Bay, Egypt. Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, 9(1):51-58.
- [69] Turan, F., Eken, M., Ozyilmaz, G., Karan, S., and Uluca, H., (2020). Heavy metal bioaccumulation, oxidative stress and genotoxicity in African catfish Clarias gariepinus from Orontes river. Ecotoxicology, 29(9):1522-1537.
- [70] Bano, Z., Abdullah, S., Ahmad, W., Zia, M.A., and Hassan, W., (2017). Assess- ment of heavy metals and antioxidant enzyme in different organs of fish from farm, hatchery and Indus River of Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 49(6):2227-2233.
- [71] Li, M., Zhu, X., Tian, J., Liu, M., and Wang, G., (2019). Bioaccumulation, oxidative stress, immune responses and immunerelated genes expression in northern snakehead fish, Channa argus, exposure to waterborne selenium. Molecular Biology Reports, 46(1):947-955.
- [72] Duruibe, J.O., Ogwuegbu, M., and Egwurugwu, J., (2007). Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. International Journal of Physical Sciences, 2(5):112-118.
- [73] Witeska, M., Sarnowski, P., Ługowska, K., and Kowal, E., (2014). The effects of cadmium and copper on embryonic and larval development to fide Leuciscus idus L. Fish Physiology and Biochemistry, 40:151-163.



- [74] Gensemer, R.W. and Playle, R.C., (1999). The bioavailability and toxicity of aluminum in aquatic environments. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 29(4):315-450.
- [75] Ikem, A. and Egiebor, N.O., (2005). Assessment of trace elements in canned fishes (mackerel, tuna, salmon, sardines and herrings) marketed in Georgia and Alabama (United States of America). Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 18(8):771-787.
- [76] Ikem, A. and Egilla, J., (2008). Trace element content of fish feed and bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus) from aquaculture and wild source in Missouri. Food Chemistry, 110:301-309.
- [77] Can, M.F., Yılmaz, A.B., and Mazlum, Y., (2019). A Meta-Analysis: Geo-statistical approach on the THQ values of Cu, Zn, and Fe accumulation in some fish species from İskenderun Bay, North-Eastern Mediterranean. V. International Congress on Natural and Health Sciences (ICNHS-2019) (pp:69-89).
- [78] Pazi, I., Gonul, L.T., Kucuksezgin, F., Avaz, G., Tolun, L., Unluoglu, A., Karaaslan, Y., Gucver, S.M., Orhon, A.K., Siltu, E., and Olmez, G., (2017). Potential risk assessment of metals in edible fish species for human consumption from the Eastern Aegean Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 120(1-2):409-413.
- [79] Froese, R. and Pauly, D., (2022). FishBase. 2022. World Wide Web Electronic Publication. Available at: http://www. Fishbase. Org (Accessed on 8 September 2022).